## COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

\* \* \* \*

## In the Matter of:

THE APPLICATION OF TRIPORT DISPOSAL COMPANY )
FOR A CERTIFICATE OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND )
NECESSITY AUTHORIZING AND CONFIRMING SAID )
COMPANY'S CONSTRUCTION OF ITS SANITARY SEWAGE)
FACILITIES TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL SYSTEM )
LOCATED IN MOON LAKE SUBDIVISION AND PORTIONS)
ADJACENT THERETO IN SCOTT COUNTY, KENTUCKY, )
AS WELL AS AN APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL OF A )
RATE INCREASE FOR TARIFFS

CASE NO. 8506

## ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that Tri-Port Disposal Company, ("Tri-Port") shall file an original and seven copies of the following information with the Commission with a copy to all parties of record by March 25, 1983. If neither the requested information nor a motion for an extension of time is filed by the stated date, the case may be dismissed.

- 1. On the attached map identify the owner of each numbered lot at the time the services were installed.
- 2. Identify those service lines paid for by Homestate and provide the construction cost of same. Also, identify the contractor who received payment for this construction.
- 3. Provide a complete list of any sewer lines whereby construction was paid for by Mr. William Daugherty. Also provide the cost of each.

- 4. Provide information on who is responsible for the maintenance of the sewer collection lines.
- 5. Provide a complete listing of any sewer construction paid for by someone other than Homestate and Mr. William Daugherty.
- 6. What is the purpose of the connection fee?
- 7. What services are performed by the inspector that are not included in the connection fee? Please explain in detail.
- 8. Why were there no estimates for inspection fees acquired from sources in the immediate vicinity

  (Georgetown, Kentucky)?
- 9. In your petition for rehearing, you stated that you had no funds with which to acquire the collection lines in the Moon Lake Subdivision. Please provide the cost to acquire these lines and all supporting data used to derive this cost. Also, provide all measures that have been taken to acquire the funds necessary for the lines.
- 10. Provide a detailed analysis of the contribution in aid of construction of \$56,544 as reported on the balance sheet for the test year ending February 28, 1982. The analysis should show the name, amount and date of each contribution.
- 11. Provide the total cost of construction of the collection lines and appurtenance to serve the residential customers in the Moon Lake Subdivision, along with documentation.

Documentation should be in the form of invoices to support the amount. Provide a breakdown of the total cost of construction in accordance with the Uniform System of Accounts.

12. Provide a detailed breakdown of the utility plant in service for the test year ended of \$197,927. This information was requested in data request and Tri-Port failed to adequately breakdown this account. The breakdown should be in accordance with the Uniform system of Accounts. In addition, the breakdown should show contributed and non-contributed property and facilities owned by Tri-Port.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 15th day of March, 1983.

Public Service Commission

Chairman

Vice Chairman

Shull

Commissioner

ATTEST:

Secretary

